Challenge to Science: The Blink Line Experiments

A Call to Validate or Falsify Perceptual Spacetime Folding

Author: Dr. K. Azim Ali

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-2265-0944

License: CC BY 4.0 | DOI: https://zenodo.org/records/15286330

The truth doesn’t blink—but science might

1. The Challenge

I assert that blinks (~300ms ocular closures) are not passive reflexes, but:
- Biological spacetime folds that quantize perception into discrete frames.
- Consciousness bridges leveraging DMN resets (Nakano et al., 2013).
- AI’s fundamental limit: Machines cannot simulate blink-driven 'presence collapse.'

Here’s how to test these claims—with protocols simple enough for any lab.

2. Three Decisive Experiments

Experiment 1: Time-Jump Detection
Protocol:
1. Participants view a 1μs-resolution clock.
2. During eye-tracker-synchronized blinks, the clock jumps 300ms forward.
Prediction (H1): Subjects will retroactively interpolate jumps as smooth motion (blinks induce perceptual smoothing).

Experiment 2: Quantum Blink Entanglement
Protocol:
1. Conduct a double-slit experiment with human observers.
2. Alternate between blinking/non-blinking blocks.
Prediction (H2): Blink phases will correlate with wave-function collapse patterns (observation modulated by blink-resets).

Experiment 3: ADHD Blink Desynchronization
Protocol:
1. Measure blink-cycle regularity in ADHD vs. neurotypical cohorts.
2. Correlate with temporal binding errors (audio-visual sync tasks).
Prediction (H3): ADHD subjects will show irregular blink rhythms ↔ impaired time perception.

3. Why This Matters

- Physics:
  - Challenges continuous spacetime models.
  - Proposes a quantum-perceptual paradigm.

- Medicine:
  - Non-invasive blink biomarkers for ADHD/PTSD.
  - Diagnostic/therapeutic revolution.

- AI:
  - Exposes a hard boundary of machine consciousness.
  - Redefines Turing tests.

4. The Stakes

- If confirmed: Humanity must confront its historical blindness to blink-quanta.
- If refuted: The Blink Line becomes a 'beautiful wrong idea'—a cautionary tale.

The burden of proof is now on the scientific community.

5. Submission Guidelines

1. Publish results (positive/negative) with citation:
Ali, K. A. (2025). Blink-Mediated Perceptual Decoherence. Zenodo. https://zenodo.org/records/15286330

2. Tag #BlinkChallenge in preprints/social media.

3. Optional: Submit to the Blink Replication Registry (hosted on Zenodo).

6. References

1. Nakano, T., et al. (2013). Blink-related DMN activation. PNAS, 110(2), 702–706. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1214804110
2. Ali, K. A. (2025). Blink-Mediated Perceptual Decoherence. Zenodo. https://zenodo.org/records/15286330
3. Ali, K. A. (2025). Perception 2040+: A Civilization Rebuilt by the Blink. Zenodo. https://zenodo.org/records/15285110

Ethics Statement

All protocols comply with the Declaration of Helsinki guidelines for human research.

Author Profile

Dr. K. Azim Ali
Affiliations: Northern Virginia Community College | Harvard Medical School PGME | Independent Researcher
Expertise: Perceptual Science, Cognitive Neuroscience, Consciousness Studies, Healthcare Design Innovation, AI Philosophy, Healthcare Quality and Safety
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-2265-094

Ka28536@email.vccs.edu